

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED IŅVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/051,859 | 01/15/2002 | Hardayal Singh Gill | SJO9-2001-0007US1 | 1622 | |
| 32112 | 7590 07/01/2003 | | | • | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW OFFICE | | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | |
| 1901 S. BAS CAMPBELL | COM AVENUE, SUITE 66 ., CA 95008 | OMETZ, DAVID LOUIS | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2653 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003 | ٠ | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/051,859 | GILL, HARDAYAL SINGH | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | David L. Ometz | 2653 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1)□ [*] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th | is action is non-final. | • | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,10-15,18-21,23 and 27-32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7-9,16,17,22,24-26 and 33-35</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14)∏ A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | c priority under 35 U.S | s.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment | · | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> | 5) Notic | iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev | | tion Summary | Part of Paper No. 5 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/051,859

Art Unit: 2653

1. Claims 11 and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 11, line 2, "said nonmagnetic" should be changed to --a nonmagnetic--; in claim 28, line 2, "said nonmagnetic" should be changed to --a non-magnetic--. Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 10-14, 18-20, 23, 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carey et al (US Pat 6266218). Carey et al shows a spin valve MR head in figure 10 that has: a free magnetic layer 78; two anti-parallel coupled magnetic layers 84/96 on the end regions of free layer 78; nonmagnetic Ru layer 98; seed layer 88 with a first one 84 of the two magnetic layers disposed on top of the seed layer 88; the net biasing magnetic field is in the same direction as the free layer magnetization; as per claims 11 and 12, Carey et al sets forth in col. 4, lines 15-21 that when CoPtCr is used, then a third and fourth magnetic layer (e.g. Co, with CoFe as an inherent variant of Co based alloys) is used as a sub-layer to the anti-parallel magnetic layers.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,859

Art Unit: 2653

5. Claims 4, 15, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Carey et al in view Aoshima et al (US Pat 6477020). Carey shows an MR head with anti-parallel biasing layers as noted above. However, Carey et al does not disclose that the seed layer has a BCC crystal structure. Aoshima et al shows an MR head wherein it is disclosed at col. 1, lines 29-35 BCC crystal structure seed layers improve the biasing characteristics. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a BCC crystal material for the seed layer in Carey et al as doing this would improve the biasing of the free layer as taught by Aoshima et al.

It is noted that the applied reference appears to have a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by:

(1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the

Application/Control Number: 10/051,859

Art Unit: 2653

same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

- 6. Claims 5, 7-9, 16, 17, 22, 24-26, 33-35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Akiyama et al and JP 2001-176028 both show an MR head with anti-parallel biasing layers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Ometz whose telephone number is (703) 308-1296. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750.

David L. Ometz

Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2653

DLO

June 26, 2003